



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,575	03/18/2002		Hisashi Nakagomi	220944US2	3219
22850	7590	03/01/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SI		CLELLAND, N	PAN, JOSEPH T		
	CIA, VA 223	14	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2135	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office A. C O	10/098,575	NAKAGOMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Pan	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>This 3)</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2002 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/05&8/23/02	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's response filed on December 12, 2005 has received. Claims 1, 7 have been amended. New claims 10-16 have been added. Claims 1-16 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews (U.S. Patent No. 5,757,271) in view of O'Neil et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,963,864).

## Referring to claim 1:

i. Andrews teaches:

A communication device having a security communication function, comprising:

A detection unit for detecting the proximity of the destination of connection (see column 4, lines 13-16 of Andrews);

An announcing unit for displaying messages (see figure 1, element 18 of Andrews),

Wherein said communication device is configured to communicate with another device at said location via a wireless communications (see figure 1, element 40; and column 4, lines 39-44 of Andrews).

However, Andrews does not specifically mention that the communication device is configured to communicate with another device via a wireless telecommunications network.

- ii. O'Neil et al. disclose a system for providing telecommunication extension service to a subscriber wherein the system includes a wireless telecommunications network (see figure 1, element 14 of O'Neil).
- iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of O'Neil et al. into the system of Andrews so that the communication device can be configured to communicate with another device via a wireless telecommunications network.
- iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of O'Neil et al. into the system of Andrews so that the communication device can be configured to communicate with another device via a wireless telecommunications network, because the wireless telecommunication network is widely used.

## Referring to claim 2:

Andrews and O'Neil et al. teach the claimed subject matter: a communication device having a detection unit and an announcing unit (see claim 1 above). Andrews further discloses that the communication device further comprises a judgment unit for judging whether the proximity satisfies the prescribed range (see column 5, lines 17-22 of Andrews).

#### Referring to claim 3:

Andrews and O'Neil et al. teach the claimed subject matter: a communication device having a detection unit and an announcing unit (see claim 1 above). Andrews further discloses that the communication device further comprises a setting unit to set the proximity (i.e., the range of the transmitter within the remote unit) of the communication device (see column 4, lines 13-16 of Andrews).

Art Unit: 2135

## Referring to claim 4:

Andrews and O'Neil et al. teach the claimed subject matter: a communication device having a detection unit and an announcing unit (see claim 1 above). Andrews further discloses that the communication device further comprises a control unit to control the operation of the device (see column 4, lines 24-26 of Andrews).

# Referring to claim 5:

Andrews and O'Neil et al. teach the claimed subject matter: a communication device having a detection unit and an announcing unit (see claim 1 above). Andrews further discloses that the communication device provides the selection functionality, so that the control logic can be described as being in one of two states (armed or disarmed), and in one of three modes of operation: proximity detection, motion detection, or user input detection (see column 4, lines 27-30 of Andrews).

## Referring to claim 6:

Andrews and O'Neil et al. teach the claimed subject matter: a communication device having a detection unit and an announcing unit (see claim above). Andrews further discloses that the communication device further comprises a notification unit to alert the owner of the communication device that a security violation has occurred (see figure 3, element 74; and column 5, lines 17-22 of Andrews).

## Referring to claim 7:

#### i. Andrews teaches:

A communication device for communicating with a mobile communication device, comprising:

A detection unit for detecting the proximity of the destination of connection (see column 4, lines 13-16 of Andrews);

A setting unit to set the proximity (i.e., the range of the transmitter within the remote unit) of the communication device (see column 4, lines 13-16 of Andrews),

Art Unit: 2135

Wherein said communication device is configured to communicate with said mobile communication device at a remote location via wireless communications (see figure 1, element 40; and column 4, lines 41-44 of Andrews).

However, Andrews does not specifically mention that the communication device is configured to communicate with another device via a wireless telecommunications network.

- ii. O'Neil et al. disclose a system for providing telecommunication extension service to a subscriber wherein the system includes a wireless telecommunications network (see figure 1, element 14 of O'Neil).
- iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of O'Neil et al. into the system of Andrews so that the communication device can be configured to communicate with another device via a wireless telecommunications network.
- iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of O'Neil et al. into the system of Andrews so that the communication device can be configured to communicate with another device via a wireless telecommunications network, because the wireless telecommunication network is widely used.

#### Referring to claim 8:

Andrews and O'Neil et al. teach the claimed subject matter: a communication device having a detection unit and a setting unit (see claim 7 above). Andrews further discloses that the communication device further comprises a control unit to control the operation of the device (see column 4, lines 24-26 of Andrews).

#### Referring to claims 9, 16:

Andrews and O'Neil et al. teach the claimed subject matter: a communication device having a detection unit and a setting unit (see claim 7 above). O'Neil et al. further disclose the inquiring unit (see column 17, lines 39-42 of O'Neil et al.)), and the selection functionality (see column 22, lines 37-40 of O'Neil et al.).

#### Referring to claim 10:

Andrews teaches:

Art Unit: 2135

A mobile communication terminal device having a security communication function; comprising:

- (a) a detection unit for detecting the security level of the destination of connection (see figure 3, element 76 of Andrews);
- (b) an announcing unit for announcing said detected security level (see figure 3, element 74 of Andrews);
- (c) a security level setting unit for setting by a user at least one of security level (see figure 4, lines 24-44 of Andrews);
- (d) a judgment unit for judging whether said detected level satisfies the security level condition previously set by the user (see figure 4, element 72 of Andrews);
- (f) a control unit for controlling communications (see figure 4, element 72 of Andrews).

## Referring to claim 11:

Andrews teaches the claimed subject matter: A mobile communication terminal device having a security communication function (see claim 10 above). Andrews further discloses that the announcing unit is adapted to announce the results of said judgment (see figure 3, elements 72, 74 of Andrews).

## Referring to claim 12:

Andrews teaches the claimed subject matter: A mobile communication terminal device having a security communication function (see claim 10 above). Andrews further discloses that the control unit is adapted to discontinue communication (see column 4, lines 30-44 of Andrews).

## Referring to claim 13:

Andrews teaches the claimed subject matter: A mobile communication terminal device having a security communication function (see claim 10 above). Andrews further discloses that the communication device provides the selection of the continuance or discontinuance of communication (see column 4, lines 30-44 of Andrews).

#### Referring to claim 14:

Art Unit: 2135

Andrews teaches the claimed subject matter: A mobile communication terminal device having a security communication function (see claim 10 above). Andrews further discloses that the notification unit notifies the caller (see column 4, lines 41-44 of Andrews).

## Referring to claim 15:

#### Andrews teaches:

A device for communicating with a mobile communication device, comprising:

- (a) a detection unit for detecting a security level of communication with the mobile communication device (see figure 3, element 76 of Andrews);
- (b) a security level setting unit for setting by a user at least one of a security level (see column 4, lines 24-44 of Andrews);
- (c) an internal memory for storing the security level information (see figure 4, element 104 of Andrews);
- (d) a control unit for controlling communication (see figure 4, element 72 of Andrews).

## **Response to Arguments**

4. Applicant's arguments filed on December 12, 2005 have been fully considered but they are moot due to the new grounds of rejections.

#### Conclusion

5, Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-5987.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,575

Art Unit: 2135

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached at 571-272-3859. The fax and phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Joseph Pan

February 6, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 8